



BUCERIUS LAW SCHOOL
CENTER ON THE LEGAL PROFESSION

EgonZehnder

The Future of Leadership

Bucerius Center on the Legal Profession Study 2021 in Co-operation with Egon Zehnder





Table of Contents

Preface	4
Part I: Mastering Leadership at the Top Calls for Personal and Professional Excellence	5
Perceptions and interactions change when leaders become General Counsel or Managing Partner	7
Mindset over skills: If you want to create a high-performing organisation, work on mindsets first	9
Future leadership skills for General Counsel and Managing Partners: How do you change relationship patterns?	11
Integrity is a guiding quality in a highly dynamic environment	13
Mastering your inner dialogue: Personal advice to your younger self	14
Part II: To Become a Game Changer, Be a Strategic Driver of Transformation	16
Leaders as the framers of change	18
Change necessitates intensive communication	19
Mastering future challenges: Growing requirements for future leadership	20
Current trends fundamentally impact your future leadership – or do they?	21
Too like-minded? Trusted sparring partners in and outside of the organisation	23
Part III: Future Leadership at the Top: Mastering the Three Leadership Altitudes	25
Future-Facing Leadership is Adaptive at all Leadership Levels	27
Methodology of the Study	31
About the Authors	33
Acknowledgments and Contact	34
Notes	35

There is nothing more difficult
to take in hand,
more perilous to conduct,
or more uncertain in its success,
than to take the lead
in the introduction
of a new order of things.

Niccolò Machiavelli




Preface

What are the consequences of the “VUCA world” for how leadership will be exhibited in the future? What are the implications for leaders? The complexity of the current tectonic shifts to our world does not lend itself to easy answers. While several studies have sought to understand the future of leadership, little has been written about the future of leadership in the legal market.

This report is intended for law firm and legal team leaders, whether a newly elected Managing Partner, or long-standing General Counsel. We believe that the challenges facing leaders require a highly adaptive approach to leadership driven by reflection, relation and connection.

Through in-depth interviews with 30 leaders (13 Managing Partners and 17 General Counsel) we examined current perceptions around leadership in the future. What skills and mindsets will be key to success in the future? What individual qualities do leaders need to achieve personal and professional excellence and be successful at the top? What trends and challenges will shape leaders’ main focal areas? What are their respective perspectives on leadership, viewed against the background of their extensive leadership experience?

The present study was jointly executed by the Bucerus Center on the Legal Profession and Egon Zehnder. We invite you to read our findings and reflect on your own leadership perspectives.



Prof. Dr.
Madeleine
Bernhardt

Theresa
Giataganas

Dr.
So-Ang
Park

Prof. Dr.
Wolfhart
Pentz

Dr.
Hariolf
Wenzler

Emma
Ziercke

Part I

Mastering Leadership at the Top Calls for Personal and Professional Excellence



At a glance



Leading from the top is different: According to our interviewees, surprisingly different. **Firstly**, upon assuming their new roles, leaders are under a magnifying glass. Every aspect of their behaviour – verbal and nonverbal – is observed and interpreted. People tend to constantly observe, (over-) interpret and react to what they hear and see their leaders do. To be effective, **leaders have to learn to consciously regulate their thoughts, emotions and behaviour in order to fully engage and motivate others.**

Secondly, it was clear for all interviewees that the skills that got them into their role as Managing Partner or General Counsel are not the same skills that will get them to the top in their new role. But then, what exactly does it take to successfully lead from the top? No matter what you want to achieve as a leader, you have to **substantially grow your self-awareness, including your mindset, core beliefs and thought patterns.** Clarity about your mindset and the underlying drivers of your behaviour are prerequisites for effective leadership as they consciously or subconsciously inform all leadership behaviour. Thus, not being cognizant of your mindset is akin to flying blind, and therefore potentially crashing your leadership. In fact, mindset trumped all leadership skills that the interviewees believed to be important for future leadership.

Thirdly, focussing on leadership skills, one of the most valuable skills leaders put a premium on was the **ability to effectively build and maintain relationships that foster mutual trust, autonomy and commitment for all people involved.** In this regard, and **fourthly**, the close connection between mindset and skills becomes very tangible: In order to create trust and fully leverage human capital, you must first **lead with integrity.** Integrity is a guiding quality we cannot overestimate, especially when it comes to leading organisational transformation.

Finally, it may sound obvious, but leaders are people too. They also experience insecurities, doubts, fear of failure, stress, tension and impatience. **Mastering your inner cognitive and emotional dialogue** is essential to being the person you want to be and serving as a role model to others.

Together these key qualities are the foundation for your personal and professional excellence and they need to be nurtured and further developed throughout your whole career

Future leadership skills for General Counsel and Managing Partners: How do you change relationship patterns?

Curious explorers will bring together relevant perspectives for transforming their organisation

KEY INSIGHTS

Participants described the leadership skills they considered to be most important for their future leadership. For the vast majority of General Counsel **building a strong team, empowering it and fostering deep connections** was the number one skill. With regard to Managing Partners, one third discussed having a **strong focus on teams**. Both groups declared the **ability to build strong and sustainable relationships** to be crucial. Almost half of the General Counsel and one third of the Managing Partners underlined the **importance of a willingness to change as a core attribute**, indicating a tendency to apply a growth mindset, which encompasses the belief in others' potential to grow. In the same vein, **relentlessly challenging the status quo was considered to be an essential skill**. We also listened for what participants did not mention: In our view, asking pertinent questions and taking the time for reflection are essential skills for navigating the future, and leaders might want to add them to their list.



Integrity is a guiding quality in a highly dynamic environment

Integrity is essential to truly engage people and transform your organisation

KEY INSIGHTS

We showed participants a list of five key attributes that several studies¹⁶ had identified as important for future leadership and asked how important these were for the way our participants would lead in the future. “Integrity” was ranked number one. **Integrity is associated with a solid commitment to appreciating others, being honest and trustworthy and showing consistent ethical behaviour in different situations.**¹⁷ Of much lesser importance was – not surprisingly – legal expertise which is regarded as a *conditio sine qua non* for obtaining the leadership role in the first place. Regarding digital and technical ability, although participants underlined the importance of understanding and being up to date with digital and technological advancements, they did not rank it as very important, as they saw the leader’s role limited to making sound decisions after having consulted specialists in this field. The only difference in the ranking between Managing Partners and General Counsel pertained to “entrepreneurial behaviour” and “transformational leadership”,¹⁸ respectively ranked no. 2 or 3. We found all elements of transformational leadership in comments that participants made during the interviews. For us, this confirms that transformational leadership is critical in times of significant and constant change.

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Let integrity influence your transformational effectiveness

Participants considered integrity and entrepreneurial behaviour as highly important for future leadership. Additionally, many participants described their heightened awareness with regard to environmental, social and governance requirements (ESG). Sometimes, considerations around ethical decision-making and integrity are in conflict with a purely entrepreneurial decision on a specific topic. How can leaders solve potential dilemmas between ethical and entrepreneurial considerations? Studies show a positive statistical relationship between perceived leader integrity and transformational leadership: in a national sample of more than 1000 leaders, “a moderate to strong positive relationship was found between perceived integrity and the demonstration of transformational leadership behaviours”.¹⁹




Figure 1

Which leadership quality is most important for the way you will lead in the future?

Therefore, we propose that leaders should be particularly aware of this close connection, as their ability to lead their organisation through transformations will largely depend on the signs of integrity they display. If current studies are correct in predicting that leadership integrity will have a tremendous impact on peoples’ commitment to the company’s goals and motivation to fully involve themselves in helping their organisation succeed, then leaders will have to be constantly aware of potential ethical and entrepreneurial decision dilemmas if they want to support their organisation in successfully transforming itself for the future. Ultimately, you may want to ask yourself: *Do I as a leader, and do we as an organisation, continuously scan for ethical dilemmas that can impact our integrity from an internal and external perspective?*

Mastering your inner dialogue: Personal advice to your younger self

The biggest challenge is how to deal with unhelpful thoughts and negative emotions

KEY INSIGHTS

Given their extensive experience and learnings so far, we wanted to know what very personal advice our participants would give to their “younger self” on commencing the role of General Counsel or Managing Partner. Leaders underlined the **importance of being able to deal with strong negative thoughts and emotions such as fear of failure, anxieties, worries, anger, nervousness and impatience**. Closely linked to this, many participants described that they would have trusted themselves and their abilities more and been confident that things will turn out well. Leaders realize that in a VUCA world, fast and wise decision-making is critical, and therefore leveraging the power of your intuition plays a central role. The better we are at managing destructive thoughts and emotions and strengthening supportive ones, the better we are equipped to leverage our intuitive intelligence.²⁰ While many participants emphasized that listening to their peers and people was a key element of success, perhaps you should ask: *How well do I listen to my inner dialogue? How consciously do I decide when to adjust the volume of which voice?*


I was incredibly worked up and assumed the burden for countless issues and worried insanely about all kinds of problems.

Interviewee, General Counsel

Don't always take everything so much to heart and stay relaxed and cool, so to speak, even with difficult decisions, and don't take everything personally.

Interviewee, Managing Partner

Mastering your inner dialogue: Personal advice to your younger self



PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Learn how to weaken strong negative thoughts and emotions

Participants explicitly named some very exhausting thoughts and emotions that made them constantly look for danger and fear making a mistake or not being up to the task. The most common words participants used to describe what they wished for their younger self were “equanimity” and “relaxation”. Science shows clearly that the more positive emotions we experience throughout the day, the more productive and effective we are, the better the decisions we make and the more we can inspire people around us.²¹ Hence, working on catching negative thoughts and emotions as they arise and being able to transform them into positive emotions is a key skill in self-leadership.

Practice resourceful self-talk – No matter what the challenge is, you can handle it

Internal self-doubt and not being able to internalize accomplishments seldom show on the outside. On the contrary, people who experience it often show a strong demeanour on the outside. We all know about the Imposter Syndrome which does not equate with lack of self-confidence, but chronic self-doubt,²² which many people experience from time to time, especially when taking on a new role. It is important to strengthen “encouraging inner-dialogue” as thoughts and feelings affect our behaviour, and the more

conscious we are about our thoughts and feelings, the more consciously we can act and interact with others. The first step is to identify thoughts that fuel self-doubt, and then to kindly and persistently question them. This is one of the most effective ways to strengthen the supportive inner voice that tells you that whatever the challenge is, you can handle it.

Train your intuitive intelligence muscles for wise decisions in a VUCA world

If you have ever disregarded a “gut feeling” as irrational and later regretted it, you may have painfully experienced the importance of listening not only to the “rational” mind, but also to the intuitive mind that includes additional information necessary for well-informed decision-making.²³ Learning how to access your intuition allows you to become aware of potential dangers and opportunities in a much more informative way than by only listening to the incomplete and often biased information from the “rational” mind. This becomes even more important the more volatile the context the leader is working in. One way to start listening more to your intuition is by sharpening your awareness for your bodily reactions in situations that call for a decision; the term “gut feeling” was purposefully chosen.

Part II

To Become a Game Changer, Be a Strategic Driver of Transformation



At a glance


Leading people and organisations is synonymous with leading change. To successfully drive change, leaders need to especially **focus on connecting and relating to others** and at the same time **combining personal and strategic aspects of leadership**.

Initially, this means **framing change positively and orchestrating the change process to enable and empower your people to develop long-lasting skills in implementing change**. The significance of smart, intensive, and relentless communication in this regard should not be underestimated.

To master future challenges, you need to deal with change before it happens to you, this means **assessing the impact of trends on your leadership and constantly scanning the horizon to envisage the future**.

Unsurprisingly, people and process-related trends, such as **nurturing and developing talent, and managing new flexible working models**, were identified by the interviewees as having the greatest impact on leadership in the future.

Only by **leveraging a variety of resources, expanding networks, seeking out sparring partners from outside your organisations, leveraging cross-boundary relationships, and escaping from your “bubble”** can you strategically drive change and be a true game changer.



Leaders as the framers of change

Leaders work with others to change how things get done

KEY INSIGHTS

Despite the fact that the ramifications of the Pandemic continue to force organisational change (e.g. redundancies, restructurings and remote-working policies), law firms and in-house teams are mainly internally driven by the desire to improve efficiency and customer service. **Change processes focus on improving the way work is organised in order to better serve the client** (i.e. teams are organised around business and client needs, rather than legal discipline) **and on standardising and digitalising data and document creation** (i.e. contracts are standardised, rather than tailor-made).

“I trust my people to solve things with us” was the common approach to such change processes. Whilst leaders employed aspects of classical change models,²⁵ such as creating a sense of urgency, establishing a guiding team and producing short term wins, the leaders appreciated the complexity of change and sought to involve their people in the change process, demonstrated by intense communication strategies. Many leaders were surprised at the level of resistance they encountered, often blaming lawyers’ natural reluctance to change.

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Frame change positively

Many leaders described the communication process as “I have to convince others” that change is necessary or desirable. Whilst helping others to see why change is needed is the first step in a linear approach to change management:²⁶ creating the so-called “sense of urgency” or “burning platform”, such an approach can lead to negative emotions and actually allow resistance to build.²⁷ Framing change positively can help remove these barriers: Seeing change as an integral aspect of the continuing growth of the organisation and focussing on what an organisation is already good at and what is needed to achieve future goals can create positive emotions and lower levels of resistance.

Design and manage your journey

Leaders successfully employed a variety of group-interactions to roll out the change: brainstorming sessions, workshops, town-halls, teams with no hierarchy, small “guiding” teams with key players, as well as individual interactions: one to one discussion,




Figure 2 Which mindset most closely relates to the leaders’ approach to change?²⁴

leading by example, using “champions”. Framing change requires the leader to establish the starting point for change, as well as design and manage the actual journey, guiding his or her people through the process.²⁸

Next level – be the creator of change

Whilst the leaders shared the overall plan of what has to be done with their people, and sought to change *how* things get done, and not just *what* gets done, some leaders also saw their role as the creator of change, describing their approach as “creating the conditions for change to happen”.²⁹ In this scenario, the leader continues to establish the framework within which change should happen, but people are left to get on with things as they see fit, enabling and empowering individuals to develop skills in implementing change, and so prepare the organisation for the future. For some leaders, this may not feel comfortable. However, according to research,³⁰ this may be the most promising approach, especially in very complex change scenarios.

Change necessitates intensive communication

Relentless communication, transparency and a healthy dose of courage are needed to lead complex change processes

KEY INSIGHTS

Overall, the leaders were satisfied with the results of their change processes (giving themselves an average of 8/10) and mostly believed their changes to be sustainable. However, they used the interview as an opportunity to reflect on the change process and shared their learning with us. Three key themes emerged: (1) **don't underestimate the importance of communication**; (2) **communicate transparently**; (3) **be courageous and make difficult decisions**.

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

You can never communicate enough

As one leader put it “change requires permanent communication” in a concrete and detailed way. Change is a stressful process for most people, and lawyers are no exception.³¹ The process of coming to understand and accept change proceeds through dialogue, communication, and conversation.³² Communication is time-intensive and has many layers, from official communications to individual discussions: from leader-led conversations to conversations amongst colleagues and networks. Furthermore, communication is not a one-way street, but involves listening first and an openness to take on board what people have to say.³³

Be transparent about management-led decision-making

Using the Vroom-Yetton³⁴ decision-making model, we can see that overall, the leaders tended towards “management-centered” rather than “group-centered” leadership. Whilst the latter consists of decision-making methods such as facilitating and delegating to the group, in management-centered decision-making the leader decides alone or consults others, and then decides by himself or herself. Whilst some leaders described how they had consulted individually or in a group, they tended to make the decision alone, and then “sell” it to their team. This was more often the case for General Counsel than Managing Partners, who, given the organisational structure of partnerships, tended to delegate decision

Even if you think you have explained something once, even twice, and that you are annoying people, then the reality is that you probably still haven't communicated enough.”

Interviewee, General Counsel

-making to their colleagues more often than General Counsel. Being transparent about the group or individual's role in the decision-making process is crucial for building trust and achieving buy-in: if the decision has already been made, then leaders should say so and clearly communicate the scope and role of the individual or group's contribution to the change project.

Courage

Several leaders openly discussed an internal conflict between what they considered to be their own leadership style and the style dictated by the circumstances. This conflict often came to light when comparing leaders' declared approach to the change process with the change-management methods they described: some favoured a framing approach to change, whilst in reality a directive approach was taken. Given that change is a complex process involving people, and often demands more than one leadership behaviour,³⁵ leaders should be courageous and adapt their leadership style to the circumstances: even if this means “banging your fist on the table”. Where external forces are at play, and the scope for group decision-making is narrow, then leaders need the courage to make difficult, top-down decisions alone. Where change is internally-driven, and the team needs to be involved in decision-making, then leaders need the courage to trust their people and delegate.

Mastering future challenges: Growing requirements for future leadership

To build a future-ready organisation, leaders need to foster cross-boundary collaboration



Figure 3 The top 5 trends and challenges relevant for future leadership

KEY INSIGHTS

We asked participants to tell us about trends that in their view would be most important for their organisation and for their future leadership. Not surprisingly, the **power of digital transformation and its far-reaching impact on strategic positioning, business models, collaboration and legal professional identity is the number one trend.** From this follows **leaders’ focus on the imperative to create effective interdisciplinary and cross-boundary collaboration in ever-changing teams.** Closely linked to these challenging tasks is the ability to effectively lead remotely and build a culture of belonging, as well as the awareness that the current legal professional identity and accompanying mindsets need to be challenged and revised in order for leaders to fully leverage the power of collective genius³⁶ and successfully transform their organisation. Some leaders explicitly focus on what researchers call ambidextrous leadership: balancing effective execution with experimentation.³⁷ They see the need to courageously explore new business opportunities by allowing employees to work in entities separated from the exploitative business model logic. As one participant described it: *“one effect we’re seeing and promoting is that we need to take certain projects out of this economic logic of the law firm, so giving legal tech products their own profit center, for example. They get more time, they don’t have to meet the same profitability expectation as a team that bills by the hour and already has utilization.”*

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Actively orchestrate cross-boundary collaboration to win the innovation race

In the future, a team’s composition will change more frequently. How do you build and develop project teams that successfully coordinate and communicate across disciplinary and functional boundaries and work together towards a common goal? Leaders in the future will have to put an emphasis on orchestrating this “teaming” or “teamwork on the fly”³⁸ throughout the whole organisation. You may want to ask yourself: *What is my vision for the future of the firm? How do I invite others to contribute to its realization?*

Focus less on offsetting the negative effects of remote leadership and more on creating an attractive vision for the future

Whilst remote leadership was identified as a key challenge for the future, many participants were already addressing it in the aftermath of the Pandemic. They focussed on how to make up for what got lost in terms of connection and motivation during that time and developed remote-working policies, hot-desking and allowed employees to work a fixed number of days per week from home. But is this enough? The most successful change projects implemented by leaders in the study focused on creating a vision for the future by engaging with and empowering their employees, rather than compensating for the past.

Current trends fundamentally impact your future leadership – or do they?

The ability to link trends with leadership tasks will become a competitive advantage




Figure 4 To what extent will the trend impact your leadership in the future?

Current trends fundamentally impact your future leadership – or do they?

KEY INSIGHTS

We showed participants trends that have been identified by recent studies on the future of leadership as being highly relevant for future leaders.³⁹ We asked participants to what extent they believed the respective trend would impact their way of leading in the future. In other words, we did not ask how important the respective trend was in general in their view but instead focused on the *relevance* of the trend for their future leadership. As shown above, there were some **commonalities e.g. with regard to the relevance of new work and the insignificance of evidence-based leadership**. And there were some **differences e.g. regarding changes in skill profiles, the importance of nurturing and developing talent, employees' focus on purpose and meaning, as well as on mental-health and well-being**. While the increase in quantity of information, complexity and insecurity was affirmed by most, many participants said this had been the case in the past already, so they did not foresee that this trend would impact their leadership significantly.

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Create an adaptive culture of collaboration – and leverage your workforce's capabilities

While for General Counsel changes in skill profiles were a trend that clearly impacted their leadership in the future, this was not the case for Managing Partners who considered this trend to be relatively unimportant for their future leadership, despite the increasing prevalence of “non-lawyers” in law firms. We propose that the more differentiated the skill profiles in your firm are, or will become, and the more you want to leverage this, the more you will need to foster a strong culture of collaboration and adaptation that makes active use of all the skills and talents equally. This may require working on and transforming your current company culture, hierarchies and reward systems.

To take this idea one step further, leaders need to look beyond the narrow workforce view that spans their firm. If your workforce includes not only employees, but contractors, application developers, gig workers, and others, then how do you effectively manage this workforce comprised of internal and external players? You will want your workforce to be aligned with your organisation's strategy and goals as well as with your firm's values.⁴⁰



Look to an evidence-based approach to leadership for competitive advantage

General Counsel and Managing Partners mostly considered “*evidence-based leadership*” to be of little importance for the way they would lead in the future. So, what is evidence-based leadership? It describes using methods, tools, concepts and practices for your leadership that are scientifically proven to be effective, hence evidence-based. For example, if you know what the empirically proven ways to effectively communicate change are, this will naturally increase your leadership impact. While many participants said they appreciated the opportunity to discuss challenges with peers either inside or outside of their organisation, only very few participants indicated that they were systematically reflecting on their leadership and looking for empirical evidence and valid sources for leadership tools, concepts and practices. Very few said they read about leadership, one person added that they would prefer to take part in a leadership development program, rather than merely discussing challenges with peers. We know that time is short. And because of this, we know that leaders who are able to more quickly find the most effective levers for fostering collaboration, creativity and innovation in their organisations, will have a competitive advantage. Not resorting to evidence-based leadership tools is a waste of precious energy and time.

Too like-minded? Trusted sparring partners in and outside of the organisation


Leaders who systematically leverage a variety of resources will increase their leadership expertise

KEY INSIGHTS

We asked participants who they could turn to for support with challenging leadership situations. Participants **mostly discussed leadership challenges with colleagues from the same educational background and often with a similar role or function.** Internal and external peers are precious sources of advice about pressing problems; at the same time, honest discussions with external peers are complicated the fear that others will perceive this as contravening anti-trust law. Interviewees appreciated the opportunity to learn more about different perspectives when talking to colleagues within their leadership team. General Counsel mentioned Human Resource colleagues more than twice as often as Managing Partners (37,5% compared to 15%). 31% of General Counsel and 38% of Managing Partners named their spouses as helpful “sparring partners” for discussing concrete challenges at work. Regarding ongoing development as a leader, coaching was perceived as a valid tool by some interviewees, but the power of leadership coaching, and other opportunities for individual growth seem to be largely neglected, or pursued only intermittently.

The problem is that you can't really get out of the bubble. ... it is already firmly rooted in my circle, my field, my clients... That's a topic that naturally concerns me... I would like to have a few sparring partners who really see things differently than I do, and with whom I would like to talk more often.

Interviewee, Managing Partner



Too like-minded? Trusted sparring partners in and outside of the organisation

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Leverage the power of your current network across all leadership altitudes

Most participants explained that they asked others for their opinion when they were facing a specific problem or difficult situation, so although opportunities for regular discussions exist, many participants chose an *ad hoc* approach when it comes to discussing leadership challenges. We distinguish three levels of *leadership altitudes*, the strategic level, the tactical or operational level and the personal level which together form the perspectives from which leaders can and should see their world (also see Part III: Mastering the Three Leadership Altitudes).⁴¹ The most effective leaders move seamlessly through these different altitudes and know how to integrate them for “*a view of the big and small pictures in every moment*”.⁴² We infer that many leaders’ discussions focus specifically on the tactical / operational leadership level. To integrate the personal and strategic leadership levels more fully, leaders need to actively discuss matters outside of current problems. Some leaders are part of associations that offer different formats for exchanging ideas with fellow leaders on all three leadership levels, and we assume that this is one option to embrace leadership perspectives beyond the tactical level. In order to fully leverage the personal level, leaders should consider a systematic leadership development approach (see below).

Grow your network and escape from your “bubble” from time to time


Many participants described predominantly seeking advice from people perceived as “qualified” to give an opinion – e.g. same role or function, same level of responsibility, same educational back-

ground. In the same way, talking to employees is part of the internal ecosystem. In this way, we encourage leaders to be on the lookout for more opportunities to challenge their perspectives in order to come up with creative, unorthodox and innovative ideas. By being aware of homogeneity and countering it through expanding your professional and social circles to be more diverse, we can improve financial performance.⁴³

Furthermore, we assert that Managing Partners could benefit from systematically leveraging the views of non-legal professionals, such as HR. Only 15% of Managing Partners named HR as important sparring partners for dealing with leadership challenges. Thus, the potential for mutual learning with regard to people management remains untapped.


Use a proactive and systematic leadership development approach to grow as a leader

In recent years, the capacity to coach others has become even more important for leaders to be effective in their endeavors.⁴⁴ To this end, it is highly advisable for leaders to have a coach themselves, particularly for sustained leadership development. In our study, 19% of General Counsel and 15% of Managing Partners stated having a coach. From the interviews we conclude that a coach is often called upon *ad hoc* when leaders feel overwhelmed, need structure, or face a pressing problem. We see the same pattern regarding discussions with peers: the tactical level of leadership seems to prevail while the strategic and personal levels are less in focus. Change starts from within – *How much are you willing to invest in your own development in order to grow your organisation?*



Part III

Future Leadership at the Top: Mastering the Three Leadership Altitudes




At a glance

Our core conclusion from the 30 interviews is this: Leaders today must constantly be able to switch gears and therefore need to be able to adapt at all three *leadership altitudes*,⁴⁵ strategic, operational and personal, as well as being aware that adaptation takes place within themselves, their organisation, but also outside of the organisation, as their future work-

force⁴⁶ may not be confined to the boundaries of their organisation.

We believe these are three adaptive and interdependent leadership behaviours that drive successful leadership for Managing Partners and General Counsel.



Future-Facing Leadership is Adaptive at all Leadership Levels

John C. Maxwell once said *“Good leaders ask great questions”*.

To this end, we invite you to use the following questions for reflection on the three different leadership altitudes, namely the personal, the operational and the strategic level.

Leadership Levels

Focus on Systems

Connect



Focus on Others

Relate



Focus on Self

Reflect



Future-Facing Leadership is Adaptive at all Leadership Levels



Connect

Focus on Systems

- How do I **proactively scan** for insights, trends, threats, dangers and changes in the ecosystem while inquiring in new ways?
- How do I **switch leadership altitudes** and see the world from three very different perspectives, the *personal level* of leadership engagement (at 5 feet – self-awareness), the *operational level*?
- How do I foster **strategic conversations** that value ideation, synthesis, analysis and decision-making as distinct phases in the dialogue?
- How do I create **“X-Teams”**⁴⁷ that actively involve the ecosystem and successfully push innovation and change?
- How do I support **learning** not only within my firm but **within the workforce ecosystem**⁴⁸?
- How do I orchestrate **new ways of working, relating and building trust** beyond mere “home office” regulations?
- How do I broaden my network in and outside of my organisation: which **potential sparring partners** have I overlooked?

Future-Facing Leadership is Adaptive at all Leadership Levels

Relate

Focus on Others

- How do I influence the **leadership culture** in ways that foster a growth mindset?
- How can I inspire others to **develop a growth mindset** to work towards an adaptive culture for innovation?
- How do I create a **psychologically safe and collaborative environment** that allows others to openly and controversially discuss different and opposing perspectives?
- How do I **empower others to lead** and establish more distributed leadership?
- How do I manage the **role-based status** I have and the subsequent perceptions and interpretations others make with regard to my behaviour?
- How do I **lead others with integrity** in the day-to-day business?
- How do I **frame the right questions** to inspire teams to ask powerful questions themselves, become curious and creative?
- How do I find out whether despite my best intentions I have a **diminishing impact** on the people I lead?
- How do I create an **organisational culture** that allows for constant adaptation?
- How do I support a **learning organisation** (not to be mistaken for knowledge management) that thrives on **diversity**?

Future-Facing Leadership is Adaptive at all Leadership Levels

Reflect

Focus on Self

- To what extent do I tend more toward a **fixed mindset** or a **growth mindset**? What are the implications for my leadership?
- To what extent am I aware of the influence my current mindsets have on my **thinking style, decision-making, communication** and leadership behaviour?
- How can I **confront old mindsets** that don't serve me any longer?
- Reflecting on my current **leadership signature**: How will I adapt my current leadership style preferences in order to lead in constantly changing environments?
- What does **integrity** embody for me? How do I make sure my ethical compass serves as a guide through currents of change?
- How do I navigate **dilemmas between entrepreneurial behaviour and integrity**?
- To what extent do I serve as a **role model** for the ability to change and grow?
- How do I make **reflection phases** in my busy day-to-day work a **priority** in order for me to spot potential misalignments between my aspirations and actions that have detrimental effects and should be adapted?
- How can I leverage knowledge about **evidence-based leadership** for my leadership of the firm?
- How do I make learning a **KPI** for myself and my firm?

Methodology of the Study

Given the dynamic nature of leadership across different altitudes (strategic, operational and personal), in-depth interviews were conducted to allow us to learn more about the subjective reality of leaders in the legal market.

DESIGN


The purpose of the study was to learn more about the perspectives of General Counsel and Managing Partners with regard to leadership in the future. A grounded-theory approach was supported by a thorough literature review which informed the interview questions. We designed the questions around the following three key clusters: (A) Leading change in times of disruption; (B) Skills and mindsets of future leaders; and (C) Trends and challenges for leading the organisation into the future.

PARTICIPANTS

30 leaders were interviewed. The sample consisted of 13 Managing Partners (1 female, 12 male) and 17 General Counsel (8 male and 9 female).

QUALITATIVE DATA ANALYSIS

The interviews were transcribed and subsequently analysed via MAXQDA, a software for qualitative and mixed methods research. The data was first disaggregated within the respective cluster into separate coding units. A relationship between the themes was sought and explored. We analysed to what extent differences and similarities between General Counsel and Managing Partners emerged, and between male and female leaders.



RESEARCH LIMITATIONS

The number of interviews does not allow for generalized statements relating to leadership. Qualitative research is, by definition, not representational. Instead, the goal is to learn more about the subjective reality of a highly selective group of participants. As time is a scarce resource for most lawyers, the sample was made up of participants who were already known to the interviewers, or could be contacted via intermediaries. Therefore, those who agreed to be interviewed and thus to offer us an hour of their precious time, might share some commonalities, potentially making the sample self-selective with regard to certain traits and also leadership qualities.

The interviews were conducted by four different interviewers. 13 interviews were led by a single interviewer and 17 interviews were conducted by two interviewers. Although we tried to increase reliability and minimize interviewer bias by having clear interview guidelines, this cannot be entirely excluded, especially as the topic dealt with reflections of a personal nature, such as success and failure.

Another limitation of the study is that we are not able to substantiate the leaders' declared behaviour with observable behaviour: when a participant describes his or her mindset or approach, we cannot know to what extent the respective mindset is actually translated into observable behaviour. The goal of the qualitative interview study was to learn more about the respective perspectives of the participants regarding their current and future leadership, and not to balance it with outside views.

Finally, another potential threat to the reliability of the research was subject bias – the interviewees may have answered according to social expectations because of the strong social desire to “answer correctly” (“social desirability bias”). The structure of the interview and the questions were therefore designed to encourage the participants to objectively reflect on their behaviour, without introducing the notion of a “right or wrong” response.

About the Authors



Prof. Dr. Madeleine Bernhardt, LL.M.

Director Strategic Leadership Development and Director, Bucerius Center on the Legal Profession

Madeleine is a lawyer, psychologist and certified Business Coach with extensive experience in leadership and personal development in law firms. She regularly conducts leadership development programs for professional service firms and consults to international law firm partners on the development of their leadership effectiveness.

madeleine.bernhardt@law-school.de



Theresa Giataganas

Associate Expert, Egon Zehnder

Theresa is a lawyer and Associate Expert in Egon Zehnder's Legal, Regulatory and Compliance practice as well as its Industrial Practice with special focus on the infrastructure segment. Next to executive search she is developing a spike in leadership assessment and development.

theresa.giataganas@egonzehnder.com



Dr. So-Ang Park, LL.M.

Consultant, Egon Zehnder

So-Ang is a former corporate lawyer and belongs to Egon Zehnder's Digital, Technology & Communications Practice as well as its Legal, Regulatory & Compliance Practice. In addition to executive search, So-Ang has a special focus on leadership advisory, consulting on a wide range of topics including DEI, culture transformation, leadership assessment and development.

so-ang.park@egonzehnder.com



Prof. Dr. Wolfhart Pentz

Director Leadership Advisory, Egon Zehnder

Wolfhart is Director of Egon Zehnder's Leadership Solutions team in Germany and is deeply experienced in leadership coaching and development, organisation design, capability building, and cultural transformation. He provides a full range of services including leadership development, talent strategy consulting, management appraisals, and team effectiveness consulting.

wolfhart.pentz@egonzehnder.com



Dr. Hariolf Wenzler

Director, Bucerius Center on the Legal Profession

Hariolf is a Co-Founder and Director of the Bucerius Center on the Legal Profession. He is currently CEO of YPOG Law. In previous roles he served as Director of Business Development of BakerMcKenzie in EMEA and as CSO of Baker McKenzie in Germany. Hariolf is an author, speaker and advisor on law firm strategy and innovation.

hariolf.wenzler@law-school.de



Emma Ziercke, M.B.A.

Head of Research, Bucerius Center on the Legal Profession

Emma is a former M&A lawyer from a magic circle firm in the UK and guest lecturer at Bucerius Law School. Her research expertise and publications focus on law firm management, and in particular on the organisational behaviour of law firms, including gender and generational diversity.

emma.ziercke@law-school.de

Get in touch!

Do these insights resonate with you? We are curious to learn more about your specific experiences and challenges with regard to the future of leadership.

We would be delighted to hear your views. To start a conversation, please get in touch with any of the authors.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the contributions of all interview partners and thank them for their valuable time and their important insights.

They also acknowledge Lisa Birkenbach for her assistance and input, and Tobias Heumann for his design contribution.




Notes

- ¹ L. Wiseman (2017). *Multipliers: How the best leaders make everyone smarter*, p. 222. Harper Business.
- ² Idem p. 25.
- ³ M. F. Ashforth, B.E. (1992). Alumni and their alma mater: A partial test of the reformulated model of organisational identification. *Journal of Organisational Behaviour* Vol 13(2), pp. 103-123.
- ⁴ C. Dweck (2017). *Mindset – Changing the way you think to fulfil your potential*, p. 7. Brown Book Group.
- ⁵ Idem p. 229.
- ⁶ Idem p. 24.
- ⁷ Idem p. 143.
- ⁸ Idem pp. 143-144.
- ⁹ Idem p. 141.
- ¹⁰ J. Morgan (2020). *The Future Leader: 9 Skills and Mindsets to Succeed in the Next Decade*, p.130. Wiley.
- ¹¹ J. A. Litman, R. P. Collins, & C. D. Spielberger (2005). The nature and measurement of sensory curiosity. *Personality and Individual Differences*, Vol 39(6), pp. 1123-1133, 1123.
- ¹² H. Gregersen (2018). *Questions Are the Answer: A Breakthrough Approach to Your Most Vexing Problems at Work and in Life*. Harper Business.
- ¹³ A. C. Edmondson (2002). *Managing the risk of learning: Psychological safety in work teams*. Harvard Business School Working Paper, No. 02-062, March 2002.
- ¹⁴ Egon Zehnder (2021). *It starts with the CEO. A global study*. URL: <https://www.egonzehnder.com/it-starts-with-the-ceo/highlights>
- ¹⁵ G. Watts (2012). *The Power of Introspection for Executive Development*. *The Psychologist-Manager Journal*, Vol. 15(3), pp. 149-157.
- ¹⁶ See for example, Linz et al (2017). *Radical Business Model Transformation: Gaining the Competitive Edge in a Disruptive World*. Kogan Page.
- ¹⁷ D. C. Bauman (2013). *Leadership and the three faces of integrity*. *Leadership Quarterly*, Vol. 24, pp. 414-426.
- ¹⁸ B. M. Bass (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. New York: Free Press.
- ¹⁹ K. W. Parry; S. B. Proctor-Thomson (2002). *Perceived Integrity of Transformational Leaders in Organisational Settings*. *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 35, pp. 76-96.
- ²⁰ Oxford Leadership (2021). *Leadership Insights: Intuitive Intelligence*. URL: <https://www.oxfordleadership.com/intuitive-intelligence-leadership/>
- ²¹ B. L. Fredrickson (2011). *Positivity: Groundbreaking Research to Release Your Inner Optimist and Thrive*. Oneworld Publications.
- ²² G. Corkindale (2008). *Overcoming Imposter Syndrome*. *Harvard Business Review* 05/2008.
- ²³ D. Henley (2019). *Why intuitive leaders are the most successful*. URL: <https://www.forbes.com/sites/dedehenley/2019/06/14/why-intuitive-leaders-are-the-most-successful/>
- ²⁴ M. Higgs (2010). *Change and Its Leadership: The Role of Positive Emotions*. *The Oxford Handbook of Positive Psychology and Work*, p. 69. Oxford University Press.
- ²⁵ For example Kotter J. (2006). *Our Iceberg is Melting: Changing and Succeeding Under Any Conditions*. Macmillan.
- ²⁶ J. Kotter (2006). *Our Iceberg is Melting: Changing and Succeeding Under Any Conditions*. Macmillan.
- ²⁷ M. Higgs (2010). *Change and Its Leadership: The Role of Positive Emotions*. *The Oxford Handbook of Positive Psychology and Work*, p. 74. Oxford University Press.
- ²⁸ Idem p. 70.
- ²⁹ Ibidem.
- ³⁰ M. Higgs (2010). *Change and Its Leadership: The Role of Positive Emotions*. *The Oxford Handbook of Positive Psychology and Work*. Oxford University Press.
- ³¹ See for example, A. Fruehmann (2020). *Requiem and Epilogue for the Legal Profession*. <https://librarycatalogue.insead.edu/cgi-bin/koha/opac-detail.pl?biblionumber=90124>
- ³² See for example, J. D. Ford and L. W. Ford (1995). *The role of conversations in producing intentional change*. *Academy of Management Review* 20(3), pp 541-570.
- ³³ S. R. Covey (1989). *The 7 Habits of Highly Effective People – Powerful Lessons in Personal Change*. Free Press.
- ³⁴ V. Vroom and P. Yetton (1973). *Leadership and Decision Making*- University of Pittsburgh Press.
- ³⁵ P. Hersey and K. H. Blanchard (1969). *Management of Organisational Behaviour – Utilizing Human Resources*. Prentice Hall.
- ³⁶ L. A. Hill et al. (2014). *Collective Genius: The Art and Practice of Leading Innovation*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- ³⁷ C. O. Reilly, M. L. Tushman (2020). *Lead and disrupt. How to solve the innovator’s dilemma*. 2nd edition. Stanford Business Books; J. Duwe (2021). *Ambidextrous Leadership. How leaders unlock innovation through ambidexterity*. Springer.
- ³⁸ A. C. Edmondson (2014). *Teaming: How Organisations Learn, Innovate, and Compete in the Knowledge Economy*. Jossey-Bass.
- ³⁹ *The Future Ready Lawyer 2021. Der Rechtsmarkt nach der Pandemie*. Wolters Kluwer Studienbericht; Zukunftsinstitut (2021). *Die Megatrends*. URL: <https://www.zukunfts-institut.de/dossier/megatrends/>; H. P. Michel (2020). *Leadership im sich verändernden wirtschaftlichen und sozialen Kontext*. Dissertation LMU München; F. Kühmeyer (2021). *Digitale Transformation ist eine Frage der Führung*. In: *Zukunftsinstitut GmbH (Hrsg.). Hands-on Digital*; J. Lewis, B. J. Caldwell (2005). *Evidence-Based Leadership. The Educational Forum* Vol. 69(2), pp. 182-191; J. Morgan (2020). *The Future Leader: 9 Skills and Mindsets to Succeed in the Next Decade*. Wiley
- ⁴⁰ E. J. Altman, D. Kiron, J. Schwartz, and R. Jones (2021). *The Future of Work Is Through Workforce Ecosystems*. MIT Sloan Management Review.
- ⁴¹ I. C. Woodward, V. Padmanabhan, S. Hasija, R. Charan (2021). *The Phoenix Encounter Method. Lead like your business is on fire*. McGraw Hill.
- ⁴² Idem p. 78.
- ⁴³ P. Gompers, P & S. Kovvali (2018) *The other diversity dividend*. *Harvard Business Review*, July-August 2018.
- ⁴⁴ J. Morgan (2020). *The Future Leader: 9 Skills and Mindsets to Succeed in the Next Decade*. Wiley.
- ⁴⁵ I. C. Woodward, V. Padmanabhan, S. Hasija, R. Charan (2021). *The Phoenix Encounter Method. Lead like your business is on fire*. McGraw Hill.
- ⁴⁶ E. J. Altman et al. (2021). *The Future of Work Is Through Workforce Ecosystems*. MIT Sloan Management Review 01/2021.
- ⁴⁷ D. Ancona; H. Bresman (2007). *X-teams: How to build teams that lead, innovate and succeed*. Harvard Business School Press
- ⁴⁸ E. J. Altman et al. (2021). *The Future of Work Is Through Workforce Ecosystems*. MIT Sloan Management Review 01/2021

Images and design

Layout and design: Tobias Heumann

Photography and collages: Simone Hutsch, Unsplash





BUCERIUS LAW SCHOOL
CENTER ON THE LEGAL PROFESSION

EgonZehnder

The Future of Leadership

Bucerius Center on the Legal Profession Study 2021 in Co-operation with Egon Zehnder



egonzehnder.com

law-school.de